

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,967		08/07/2003	Jeffrey Pierce	7622-86968 1252	
24628	7590	05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
WELSH &	KATZ,	LTD	RADA, ALEX P		
120 S RIVE	ERSIDE PI	LAZA			
22ND FLO	OR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	, IL 6060	06	3712		
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/16/200	c

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,967	PIERCE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Alex P. Rada	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	;s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,17,20 and 27 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-16, 18-19, and 21-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·.	·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati onty documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 4-16, 18-19, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 2. indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of claim 1 recites a game apparatus and the preambles of claims 18-19 and 21-26 recite the method according to claim 1, which tends to wonder if applicant is claiming an apparatus or method, furthermore, it is also unclear where claims 18-19 and 21-26 really depend from claim 1. The examiner suggests that applicant verify that each of the dependent claims is properly dependent upon the correct independent or dependent claim(s).

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the first controller" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the second controller" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the third controller" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the minimum and maximum values are adjustable" in line 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3712

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 4-16, 18-19, and 21-26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 3, 17, 20, and 27 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art does not disclose nor teach according to claims 1, 2, 3, 17, 20, and 27, a rotating bin containing game pieces, a moveable claw, a catapult for launching the game piece, a target area, the moveable claw places the game piece on the catapult, and the catapult launches the game piece at the target in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations. The prior cited only discloses game prizes/objects, a moveable claw and a chute. The prior fails to disclose a rotating bin, a catapult, a target area and the moveable claw places the game piece on the catapult, and the catapult launches the game piece at the target in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yoshida et al. (US 6,634,940), Fisher et al. (US 6,899,337), Langieri, Jr. Et al. (US 5,851,012), Labrasseur (US 5,435,570, Peretz (US 5,417,435), Oltmann (US 6,889,678), Levin (US 5,344,156),

Application/Control Number: 10/635,967

Art Unit: 3712

Page 4

Macek (US 4,583,743), Lee et al. (US 5,527,044), Crompton et al. (US 5,513,853), and Fishman et al.

(US 5,397,134) disclose different prize acquisition type gaming machines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alex P. Rada whose telephone number is 571-272-4452. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

APR

XUAN M. THAI
SUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1/3700